

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,967	02/16/2001		Daniel E. Alesi	0100/0118 2936	
21395	7590	04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
LOUIS W	00		WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE		
		OUIS WOO		ADTIBUT	DA DED NUMARED
717 NORT	H FAYET	TE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANI	DRIA, VA	22314	3763		

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/783,967	ALESI, DANIEL E.				
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Catherine S. Williams	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: se		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly				
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w 						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>39-52</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Art Unit: 3763

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "a single unitary device) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Additionally, applicant argues, regarding Newby, that since the shield 140a and collar 90a are connected to a conventional IV infusion set 200 then "There is therefore nothing "integral". See arguments dated 3/29/2004. According to Merriam Webster online dictionary integral means:

Main Entry: 1 in te gral (1) (1)

Pronunciation: 'in-ti-gr&l (usually so in mathematics); in-'te-gr&l also -'tE- also +'in-tr&-g&l Function: adjective

1 a: essential to completeness: <u>CONSTITUENT</u> <an *integral* part of the curriculum> b (1): being, containing, or relating to one or more mathematical <u>integers</u> (2): relating to or concerned with mathematical <u>integrals</u> or <u>integration</u> c: formed as a unit with another part <a seat with *integral* headrest>

2: composed of integral parts

3: lacking nothing essential: **ENTIRE**

- in·te·gral·i·ty 49/"in-t&-'gra-l&-tE/ noun
- in·te·gral·ly \(\delta\)/'in-ti-gr&-IE; in-'te-gr&- \(also\) itE-/ \(adverb\)

http://www.merriam-webster.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=integral&x=13&y=12

The definition most appropriate here is (c) "formed as a unit with another part". The term formed does not automatically evoke molded as applicant is asserting. Additionally a "unit" is not inherently a molded device. A "unit" could quite rationally be a device that is comprised of

Application/Control Number: 09/783,967 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

multiple parts connected in some manner (i.e. friction fit, molded, heat sealed, chemical adhesive, etc.) function together as one device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 703-308-4846.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams April 21, 2004

VISORY PATE A XAMINER
ANDLOGY CLE 18 3700